



STRUCTURE-ACTIVITY RELATIONSHIPS OF SUBSTITUTED 5H-THIAZOLO[3,2-a]PYRIMIDINES AS GROUP 2 METABOTROPIC GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR ANTAGONISTS

Jürgen Wichmann\*, Geo Adam, Sabine Kolczewski, Vincent Mutel and Thomas Woltering Pharma Division, Preclinical CNS Research, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., CH-4070 Basel Received 19 February 1999; accepted 26 April 1999

Abstract. A series of 5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidine derivatives 1 was studied with respect to the inhibition of 1S,3R-ACPD (10 $\mu$ M)-stimulated GTP  $\gamma^{35}$ S binding on rat mGlu2 receptor transfected cell membranes. The influence of substituents at position 6 and 7 as well as the substitution pattern of the two phenyl-rings in position 2 and 5 on the activity is discussed. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Excitatory amino acid (EAA) receptors mediate synaptic excitation in the mammalian central nervous system. These receptors have been classified into two major classes, the ion channel linked, or ionotropic glutamate receptors (iGluRs) and the G-protein coupled, or metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs). The former are further subdivided into N-methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA), α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4isoxazolepropionate (AMPA) and kainic acid (KA) receptors according to their selective actions as agonists.<sup>2</sup>

After the independent discovery of the first mGlu receptor by Sladeczeck et al. in 1985<sup>3</sup> and by Nicoletti et al. in 1986,4 the multiplicity of this class has been disclosed by expression cloning studies.5 Currently, eight mGlu receptors (and several splice variants) have been isolated and subdivided into three groups according to sequence homology, signal transduction and pharmacology. The first group includes mGluR1 and mGluR5 which are coupled to IP<sub>3</sub>/Ca<sup>2+</sup> signal transduction via activation of phospholipase C, whereas the members of group 2 (mGluR2, mGluR3) as well as those of group 3 (mGluR4, mGluR6, mGluR7, mGluR8) are negatively linked to adenylate cyclase.

As part of our ongoing effort to discover non-amino acid subtype selective mGlu receptor antagonist we have identified the 5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidine derivatives 1 which are antagonists at group 2 mGlu receptors. In this paper we present the structure-activity relationships of this series regarding substitution at position 6 and 7 as well as at the two phenyl-rings in position 2 and 5 of the 5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidine scaffold.

E-mail: juergen.wichmann@roche.com \*Fax: +41-61-688 87 14

PII: S0960-894X(99)00227-9

## Chemistry

The 5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidine derivatives 1a-p were prepared according to scheme 1. The synthesis of the dihydropyrimidines 5 is a well known three component one pot reaction of a substituted benzaldehyde 4, a 1,3-dicarbonyl compound 2 and thio-urea 3 (Biginelli reaction).<sup>6</sup> The Hantzsch-type<sup>7</sup> condensation of 5 with a substituted  $\alpha$ -bromo-phenylacetaldehyde 6<sup>8</sup> led to the 5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidine derivatives 1.

## Scheme 1

a) AcOH, reflux. b) CH3CN, reflux.

## **Pharmacology**

The activities of the compounds at rat mGlu2 receptors were assessed using the GTP $\gamma^{35}$ S binding model. The Ki values for the inhibition of 1S,3R-ACPD (10 $\mu$ M)-stimulated GTP  $\gamma^{35}$ S binding on transfected cell membranes from CHO cells permanently expressing rat mGlu2 receptors were determined as described (table 1). The given Ki values are the mean values obtained from three experiments performed in quadruplicate.

compound	R¹	R <sup>2</sup>	R³	R <sup>4</sup>	Ki [μM] GTPγS <sup>35</sup>
1 <b>a</b>	Me	OEt	2-OMe	2,6-diCl	4.0
1b	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-OMe	2,6-diC1	1.5
1c	Me	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-ОМе	2,6-diCl	> 10
1d	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-OMe	4-C1	4.0
1e	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-ОМе	2,4-diC1	1.0
1f	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	3-ОМе	2,6-diC1	2.0
1 <b>g</b>	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	4-ОМе	2,6-diCl	7.0
1 <b>h</b>	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-ОМе	2,6-diC1	2.4
1i	Et	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-OMe	2,6-diC1	1.0
1 <b>j</b>	iso-Pr	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-ОМе	2,6-diC1	4.0
1k	Bzl	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-ОМе	2,6-diC1	> 10
11	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-F	2,6-diC1	3.2
1m	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-C1	2,6-diCl	2.0
1n	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-Me	2,6-diCl	8.0
10	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	2-OEt	2,6-diCl	3.0
1p	Me	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),NMe,	2-OisoPr	2,6-diCl	2.0

**Table 1.** Ki values for the inhibition of 1S,3R-ACPD (10 $\mu$ M)-stimulated GTP  $\gamma^{35}$ S binding on rat mGlu2 receptor transfected cell membranes

The 5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidine derivatives 1 show μM activities in this model, the values are depending on substitution at the phenyl-rines as well as in position 6 and 7 of the scaffold.

In position 7 of the 5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidine moiety lower alkyl substituents like methyl or ethyl are well tolerated whereas the benzyl-substituted derivative 1k was found to be inactive. Chloro-substitution at the phenyl ring in position 2 turned out to be optimal for activity; 2,4-dichloro- and 2,6-dichloro-substitution (1b, 1e) led to more active compounds than the 4-chloro-substitution (1d).

Mono ortho-substitution with a methoxy-group at the phenyl-ring in position 5 of the 5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidines (1b) was found to be optimal, however, also a chloro-substituent in the ortho-position of this phenyl-ring led to active compounds (1m). Both phenyl-rings are necessary for activity.

In position 6 of the 5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidine scaffold esters are well tolerated (1a) whereas amides (1c) turned out to have no activity in the GTPy<sup>35</sup>S binding model. The best substituent in this position was found to be the ester moiety with a N,N-dimethyl-ethyl side chain (1b), however, extension of the side chain decreases the activity (1b).

When tested as described, compound 1b was found to reverse concentration-dependently the 1S,3R-ACPD-inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP production in rat mGlu2 receptor-transfected CHO cells with an  $IC_{50}$  of 10  $\mu$ M.

Compound 1i shows a Ki of 1  $\mu$ M in the GTP $\gamma^{35}$ S binding model. It was inactive on rat mGlu1a and 4a receptors up to 100  $\mu$ M using functional assays (Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization and GTP $\gamma^{35}$ S binding). This compound was further characterized in binding experiments on ionotropic receptors as described. <sup>10</sup> It has an IC<sub>50</sub> of 53  $\mu$ M in <sup>3</sup>H-MK 801 binding (NMDA), of 35  $\mu$ M in <sup>3</sup>H-Ro 48-8587 binding (AMPA) and higher than 100  $\mu$ M in <sup>3</sup>H-kainate binding (kainate).

In summary, we have explored the structure-activity relationship within a series of 5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidine derivatives which are antagonists at group 2 mGlu receptors. To our knowledge, these compounds represent the first non-amino acid antagonists at group 2 mGlu receptors described so far. The most active compound 1i turned out to be selective against mGluR1 and mGluR4 as well as ionotropic receptors.

**Acknowledgment:** We wish to thank Philipp Oberli, Sandra Müller and Veit Metzler for their skillful technical assistance.

## References and Notes

- 1) Monaghan, D. T.; Bridges, R. J.; Cotman, C.W. Ann. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol., 1989, 29, 365.
- 2) Watkins, J. C.; Krogsgaard-Laarsen, P.; Honore, T. Trends Pharmacol. Sci., 1990, 11, 25.
- 3) Sladeczeck, F.; Pin, J.-P.; Recasens, M.; Bockaert, J.; Weiss, S. Nature, 1985, 317, 245.
- 4) Nicoletti, F.; Meek, J. L.; Iadarola, M. J.; Chuang, D. M.; Roth, B. L.; Costa, E. J. Neurochem., 1986, 46, 40.
- 5) Nakanishi, S. Science, 1992, 258, 597.
- 6) (a) Biginelli, P. Gazz. Chim. Ital., 1893, 23, 360. (b) Kappe, C. O.; Falsone, S. F. Synlett, 1998, 718 and refs therein.
- (a) Hantzsch, A. Ann. Chem., 1889, 250, 257. (b) Wiley, R. H.; England, D. C.; Berch, L. C. in Org. Reactions, Adams, R., Ed.; Wiley: 1951; Vol. 6, p. 367.
- 8) Manning, R. E. U.S. Patent, 1972, 3.660.418.
- 9) Cartmell, J.; Adam, G.; Chaboz, S.; Henningsen, R.; Kemp, J. A.; Klingelschmidt, A.; Metzler, V.; Monsma, F.; Schaffhauser, H.; Wichmann, J.; Mutel V. Br. J. Pharmacol., 1998, 123, 497.
- 10) Mutel, V.; Trube, G.; Klingelschmidt, A.; Messer, J.; Bleuel, Z.; Humbel, U.; Clifford, M. M.; Ellis, G. J.; Richards, J. G. J. Neurochem., 1998, 71, 418.